

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
ΑI	RS ID#: 0310192 DATE: <u>1/17/2014</u> ARRIVE: DEPART	:		
FA	ACILITY NAME: MARSHALL STREET READY-MIX PLANT			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION: 1751 MARSHALL ST			
	JACKSONVILLE 32206			
CO	WNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIG BO Email: sigurdm.bo@cemex.com ONTACT NAME: SIG BO Email: sigurdm.bo@cemex.com FHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: WTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/1/2009 / 6/1/2014 (effective date) (end date)			
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
- ·				
	Name(s) of facility representative(s): Sig Bo Brief Notes:	(check ✓ only one box for each question)		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still SIG BO? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No		
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?	YesNo YesNo		
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section 9 -CCB Plant-RM silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
DADT H. FIELD ODSEDVATIONS Dule 62 206 414(2) E A C	 ,	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 		
·		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes 	☐ No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes	☐ No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	□ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	∐ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	□ No	
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	☐ No	
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 10 –CCB Plant-RM silo (flyash) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No □ No □ No	
DADE H. EIELD ODGEDWATIONG D.J. (2.20(.414(2)) E.A.C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	NO	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter? Yes	No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	_	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	∐ No □ No	
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 12 –CCB Plant-batching/truck loadout w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
	es No es No les No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the followin 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	es No les No les No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Y 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	es \square No		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check b ox for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		0?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	□ Yes	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one		
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\subseteq \); relocatable \(\subseteq \); or consisting of b concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement a soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below	Yes No	
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departmen e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one businessb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No.	day prior to changing location? Yes No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five b c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No	isiness days following a relocation? Yes No	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least	five business days prior to relocation? Yes No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separ and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how lost	unit in that separate permit: purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No	
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration	? Yes No No	
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numbe associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor a If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 d New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	ation of the facility or any emissions units or dministrative change at the facility? Yes No	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacem c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is s d. A change in ownership?	ent? Yes No ubstantially different? Yes No	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new regis 30 days prior to the change?		
Brenda Johnson	1/17/2014	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	Closed	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Drive by was performed on 1/17/2014, facility was closed down, gated and locked, all equipment was removed from this site and after talking with the EHS manager of this facility Sig Bo, he informed me that this facility is in the process of being sold. No violations were noted at the time of inspection.